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Message From the Chairs

Some programming feels fun, other programming feels annoying. Why?

For a while now the study of programming has forced improvements to be described through the Fordist lens of usability and productivity, where the thing that matters is how much software can get built, how quickly.

But along the way, something has gone missing. What makes programmers feel the way they do when they are programming? It is not usually fun to spend an age doing something that could have been done easily, so efficiency and usability still matter, but they are not the end of the story.

Some environments, activities, contexts, languages, infrastructures make programming feel alive, others feel like working in a bureaucracy. This is not purely technologically determined, writing Lisp to do your taxes probably still isn’t fun, but it is also not technologically neutral, writing XML to produce performance art is still likely to be <bureaucratic></bureaucratic>.

Whilst we can probably mostly agree about what isn’t fun, what is remains more personal and without a space within the academy to describe it.

In its past editions, PX set its focus on questions like: Do programmers create text that is transformed into running behavior (the old way), or do they operate on behavior directly (liveness); are they exploring the live domain to understand the true nature of the requirements; are they like authors creating new worlds; does visualization matter; is the experience immediate, immersive, vivid and continuous; do fluency, literacy, and learning matter; do they build tools, meta-tools; are they creating languages to express new concepts quickly and easily; and curiously, is joy relevant to the experience?
In PX, we expand its focus to also cover the experience that programmers have. What makes it and what breaks it? For whom? What can we build to share the joy of programming with others?

PX/22 was the eighth edition of PX and the third online-version of the workshop: Participants met virtually, authors presented their work in sessions following the Writers’ Workshop structure, and everyone engaged in lively discussions.

Our post-workshop proceedings allowed authors to reflect on the feedback they got from both the program committee and the workshop participants and improve their submission.

We would like to thank our program committee, all workshop attendees, and most importantly our authors for their contributions, constructive criticism, hard work, and willingness to share their ideas.

—Luke Church, Richard P. Gabriel, Hidehiko Masuhara, and Robert Hirschfeld
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